AO 472 (Rev. 09/08) Detention Order Pending Trial - MIWD (Rev. 10/09)

United States of America

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN

ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL

	Critica States of Attributed	ONDER OF DETERMINENT ENDING TRUME
	V. Adelso Vail-Alonso Defendant	Case No. 1:17-cr-00016-PLM
After c	conducting a detention hearing under the Bail Reform Act, 1	8 U.S.C. § 3142(f). I conclude that these facts require
	dant be detained pending trial.	0 0.0.0. g 0 1 12(1), 1 001101000 that theore indicates require
	Part I – Findings of	Fact
	defendant is charged with an offense described in 18 U.S.C a federal offense a state or local offense that would ted – that is	
	a crime of violence as defined in 18 U.S.C. § 3156(a)(4), which the prison term is 10 years or more.	or an offense listed in 18 U.S.C. § 2332b(g)(5)(B) for
	an offense for which the maximum sentence is death or li	ife imprisonment.
	an offense for which a maximum prison term of ten years	
	a felony committed after the defendant had been convicted U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1)(A)-(C), or comparable state or local of	
	any felony that is not a crime of violence but involves: a minor victim	
	the possession or use of a firearm or destruction a failure to register under 18 U.S.C. § 2250	tive device or any other dangerous weapon
	offense described in finding (1) was committed while the decal offense.	efendant was on release pending trial for a federal, state
	eriod of less than 5 years has elapsed since the date onse described in finding (1).	of conviction defendant's release from prison for the
	lings (1), (2) and (3) establish a rebuttable presumption that son or the community. I further find that defendant has not i	
	Alternative Finding	s (A)
(1) The	re is probable cause to believe that the defendant has comi	mitted an offense
· · ·	for which a maximum prison term of ten years or more is Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. 801 et seq.)	prescribed in:
	under 18 U.S.C. § 924(c).	
	defendant has not rebutted the presumption established by reasonably assure the defendant's appearance and the safe	
,	Alternative Finding	s (B)
	re is a serious risk that the defendant will not appear.	
(2) The	re is a serious risk that the defendant will endanger the safe	
	Part II – Statement of the Reason	
evidence	hat the testimony and information submitted at the detentio a preponderance of the evidence that:	
	waived his detention hearing, electing not to contest deten	
	is subject to an ICE detainer and would not be released in may bring the issue of his continuing detention to the cour	

Part III - Directions Regarding Detention

The defendant is committed to the custody of the Attorney General or a designated representative for confinement in a corrections facility separate, to the extent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or held in custody pending appeal. The defendant must be afforded a reasonable opportunity to consult privately with defense counsel. On order of United States Court or on request of an attorney for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility must deliver the defendant to the United States marshal for a court appearance.

Date:	January 18, 2017	Judge's Signature:	/s/ Ellen S. Carmody	
		Name and Title:	Ellen S. Carmody, U.S. Magistrate Judge	